Appl. No. 10/825,670 Response dated June 14, 2007 Reply to Office Action of March 15, 2007

## REMARKS

The co-filed terminal disclaimer obviates the rejection of Claims 1-35 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1 through 38 of Shin et al. (6,717,248) in view of Akram et al. (6,235,554).

The Examiner states:

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejections based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground ... (Office Action, page 2.)

Co-filed herewith is a TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TO OBVIATE A DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION OVER A PRIOR PATENT INCLUDING STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR 3.73(B), which obviates the rejection of Claims 1-35 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1 through 38 of Shin et al. in view of Akram et al. Accordingly, Claims 1-35 are allowable.

For at least the above reasons, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

## Conclusion.

Claims 1-35 are pending in the Applications. For the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully request allowance of all pending claims. If the Examiner has any questions relating to the above, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned Attorney for Applicant(s).

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** 

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on June 14, 2007.

Attorney for Applicant(s)

June 14, 2007
Date of Signature

Respect fully submitted,

Serga J. Modgson

Attorney/for Applicant(s)

Req. No. /40,017

Tel.: (831) 655-0880

GUNNISON, McKAY & HODGSON, L.L.P. Garden West Office Plaza 1900 Garden Road, Suite 220 Monterey, CA 93940 (831) 655-0880 Fax (831) 655-0888